

ORIGINAL REPORTS: CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AND RELATED RISK FACTORS

GEOGRAPHIC AND RACIAL/ETHNIC DIFFERENCES IN *HFE* MUTATION FREQUENCIES IN THE HEMOCHROMATOSIS AND IRON OVERLOAD SCREENING (HEIRS) STUDY

Objective: To assess geographic differences in the frequencies of *HFE* C282Y and H63D genotypes in six racial/ethnic groups recruited in the Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Screening (HEIRS) Study.

Design: *HFE* C282Y and H63D genotypes of 97,551 participants, ages ≥ 25 years, who reported that they belonged to one of six racial/ethnic groups, were analyzed. *HFE* genotype frequencies were compared among the racial/ethnic groups and among the HEIRS Study field centers within each racial/ethnic group.

Results: The distribution of *HFE* C282Y and H63D genotypes differed among racial/ethnic groups ($P < .0001$) and among field centers in Hispanics, Asians, Whites, and Blacks (each $P < .05$). Genotype frequencies were similar among field centers in Native Americans and Pacific Islanders. Frequencies of C282Y and H63D genotypes were greatest in Whites. The lowest frequencies of C282Y genotypes were observed in Asians; Blacks had the lowest H63D genotype frequencies and the highest frequency of the wild-type genotype. Among racial/ethnic groups, Hispanics had the greatest variation in *HFE* genotypes across geographic regions.

Conclusion: *HFE* C282Y and H63D genotype frequencies vary significantly between racial/ethnic groups and within some racial/ethnic groups across geographic regions. (*Ethn Dis*. 2006;16:815–821)

Key Words: Geographic Differences, *HFE*, Race/ethnicity

From the Department of Microbiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham (RTA), Southern Iron Disorders Center (JCB), Birmingham, Alabama; Department of Public Health Sciences, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina (BMS, AB); Department of Medicine, University of California, Irvine, California (CEM, GDM); Department of Medicine, London Health Sciences Center (PCA), Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University

Ronald T. Acton, PhD; James C. Barton, MD; Beverly M. Snively, PhD; Christine E. McLaren, PhD; Paul C. Adams, MD; Emily L. Harris, PhD; Mark R. Speechley, PhD; Gordon D. McLaren, MD; Fitzroy W. Dawkins, MD; Catherine Leindecker-Foster, MS; Joan L. Holup, MA; Aarthi Balasubramanyam, MStat; for the Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Screening Study Research Investigators

INTRODUCTION

Hemochromatosis is characterized by a tendency to absorb excessive amounts of iron that can progress to iron overload and organ damage.¹ C282Y and H63D, the most common mutations of the *HFE* gene on chromosome 6p, are associated with susceptibility to develop iron overload.² Frequencies of C282Y and H63D alleles and genotypes vary among racial/ethnic groups in different continents,³ including North America.^{4–7} Population genetic screening for primary iron over-

of Western Ontario (MRS), London, Canada; Center for Health Research, Kaiser Permanente Northwest, Portland, Oregon (ELH); Department of Medicine, Veteran Affairs Long Beach Healthcare System, Long Beach, California (GDM); Department of Medicine, Howard University, Washington, DC (FWD); Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota (CL); Center for Health Research, Kaiser Permanente, Honolulu, Hawaii (JLH).

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Ronald T. Acton, PhD; Department of Microbiology; University of Alabama at Birmingham; 265 MCLM, 1530 3rd Ave, South; Birmingham, AL 35209-0005; 205-934-2362; 204-934-4062 (fax); acton@uab.edu

load should take into account both geographic and racial/ethnic differences in *HFE* genotype and allele frequencies,⁴ but studies of larger samples conducted using a standard protocol are needed to quantify the contributions of geography and race/ethnicity to observed allele and genotype frequencies.

The Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Screening (HEIRS) Study obtained *HFE* genotyping for C282Y and H63D alleles in 101,168 participants recruited at five field centers in North America.^{7,8} In a previous report, C282Y and H63D genotype frequencies for each racial/ethnic group were combined across all field centers.⁷ Herein, we postulate that frequencies of *HFE* C282Y and H63D alleles and of *HFE* genotypes vary significantly among participants of a specific racial/ethnic group who reside in different geographic regions. These data are presented, and their pertinence to other estimates of *HFE* genotype frequencies in North America is discussed.

METHODS

Study Approval, Design, and Participants

The institutional review boards of each field center approved the HEIRS

...we postulate that frequencies of HFE C282Y and H63D alleles and of HFE genotypes vary significantly among participants of a specific racial/ethnic group who reside in different geographic regions.

Study protocol.⁸ The HEIRS Study recruited participants ≥ 25 years of age who were able to give informed consent. A total of 101,168 participants with complete laboratory data were recruited between February 2001 and February 2003 from a health maintenance organization (Kaiser Permanente Northwest in Portland, Ore, and Kaiser Permanente Hawaii); diagnostic blood collection centers (MDS Laboratories, London, Ontario, and Dynacare Laboratories, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada); and public and private primary care offices and ambulatory clinics (Howard University, Washington, DC; University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Ala; and University of California, Irvine, Calif) associated with field centers.

At initial screening, participants completed questions about their race/ethnicity.⁸ The present cohort consisted of 97,551 participants who identified themselves as White or Caucasian only; Black or African American only at US field centers or Black, African, Haitian, Jamaican, or Somal in Ontario only; Spanish, Latino or Hispanic heritage, irrespective of additional racial/ethnic identification; Asian only; Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander only; or American Indian or Alaska Native at US field centers or North American Indian, Metis, or Inuit in Ontario only.^{7,8} Subjects who reported hearing about

the study from a participating family member were excluded.

HFE Mutation Analyses

Blood samples were obtained for *HFE* mutation analysis as described elsewhere.^{7,9} Participants without C282Y or H63D are designated as having *HFE* wild-type genotype (wt/wt).^{7,9}

Statistical Methods

Deviations of observed frequencies of *HFE* genotypes from Hardy-Weinberg proportions were assessed by exact test in the sample stratified according to race/ethnicity (White, Hispanic, Black, Native American, Pacific Islander, and Asian) and field center. Strata with < 70 participants were excluded based on results of sample size/power calculations for detecting differences in genotype frequencies across strata. Inferences on genotype frequencies assumed a multinomial probability distribution model for genotypic counts. Allele counts were used to estimate allele frequency and to calculate expected C282Y/C282Y genotype frequencies under Hardy-Weinberg proportions by using the product rule. No statistical test procedures were performed with allele counts. The chi-square test for contingency tables was used to compare genotype distributions among racial/ethnic groups and among field centers within each racial/ethnic group. For each racial/ethnic group other than Whites, multiple genotypes were combined for purposes of comparing field centers because of the very low frequencies of some genotypes. In Hispanics, Blacks, and Native Americans, we evaluated three genotype categories: C282Y-containing genotypes, H63D genotypes without C282Y, and wt/wt genotype. In Pacific Islanders and Asians, we evaluated two genotype categories: H63D genotypes without C282Y and all others. For comparison of genotype distributions among racial/ethnic groups, the three categories were used. Pairwise comparisons between racial/ethnic groups and

between field centers within racial/ethnic groups were performed only when the *P* value from the overall test procedure was statistically significant (ie, $P < .05$). The Bonferroni multiple-comparisons procedure was applied to tests for Hardy-Weinberg proportions in field centers within each racial/ethnic group, for tests of genotype frequencies among racial/ethnic groups, and for tests of genotype frequencies among field centers within each racial/ethnic group. Confidence intervals for frequencies of genotypes were calculated on the basis of inverting the score test for a multinomial proportion. Statistical analyses were performed by using SAS statistical software version 8.02 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and S-Plus version 6.2 (Insightful Corp., Seattle, Wash) software.

RESULTS

Sample sizes and observed genotype frequencies in the various racial/ethnic groups and field centers are given in Table 1. The distributions of *HFE* C282Y genotypes departed significantly (ie, $P < .0083 = .05/6$ by the Bonferroni multiple-comparisons procedure) from Hardy-Weinberg proportions in the Whites recruited in Alabama and Oregon; homozygotes were observed more frequently than expected in both groups. No statistically significant deviations were observed for H63D genotypes.

Differences in *HFE* C282Y and H63D among Racial/Ethnic Groups

The distribution of C282Y and H63D genotypes varied among racial/ethnic groups (overall $P < .0001$). All pairwise comparisons of genotypes between racial/ethnic groups were statistically significant (ie, $P < .0033 = .05/15$), except for the comparison between Blacks and Pacific Islanders ($P = .0088$).

Frequencies of C282Y and H63D genotypes were greatest in Whites.

Table 1. Observed *HFE* C282Y and H63D genotype frequencies among Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Screening (HEIRS) Study participants

Racial/Ethnic Group by Field Center	Subjects, <i>n</i>	wt/wt	H63D/wt	H63D/H63D	C282Y/wt	C282Y/H63D	C282Y/C282Y	<i>P</i> value* C282Y	<i>P</i> value* H63D
White – all	44,082	.6075	.2390	.0233	.1032	.0206	.0064	-	-
Alabama	9,316	.5957	.2408	.0228	.1124	.0207	.0076	.004	.58
California	4,428	.6244	.2398	.0219	.0876	.0206	.0056	.022	.56
District of Columbia	855	.6374	.2246	.0140	.0924	.0257	.0058	.39	.25
Hawaii	2,601	.6121	.2445	.0227	.0977	.0188	.0042	.74	.71
Ontario	14,665	.6035	.2391	.0248	.1062	.0205	.0060	.029	.41
Oregon†	12,217	.6119	.2372	.0234	.1001	.0207	.0066	.0004	.86
Hispanic – all	12,459	.7786	.1765	.0124	.0282	.0039	.0006	-	-
Alabama	236	.6992	.1864	.0169	.0763	.0169	.0042	.46	.75
California	8,712	.7724	.1838	.0131	.0269	.0032	.0007	.02	.091
District of Columbia	2,513	.8281	.1401	.0092	.0215	.0012	.0000	1.0	.075
Hawaii	418	.7799	.1675	.0012	.0383	.0024	.0000	1.0	.57
Ontario	260	.7154	.2308	.0192	.0231	.0115	.0000	1.0	1.0
Oregon†	320	.6656	.2250	.0094	.0719	.0281	.0000	1.0	.23
Black – all	27,077	.9192	.0560	.0011	.0223	.0013	.0001	-	-
Alabama	9,670	.9311	.0466	.0008	.0203	.0010	.0001	1.0	.39
California	345	.9130	.0609	.0000	.0232	.0000	.0029	.064	1.0
District of Columbia	16,589	.9131	.0605	.0012	.0236	.0015	.0001	1.0	.45
Ontario	194	.8814	.0979	.0052	.0155	.0000	.0000	1.0	.44
Oregon	279	.8961	.0789	.0036	.0215	.0000	.0000	1.0	.40
Native American – all	642	.7243	.1978	.0109	.0545	.0109	.0016	-	-
Alabama	112	.7143	.1875	.0357	.0446	.0089	.0089	.12	.11
California	93	.7527	.1720	.0000	.0645	.0108	.0000	1.0	1.0
District of Columbia	101	.8416	.1188	.0000	.0396	.0000	.0000	1.0	1.0
Ontario	263	.7034	.2167	.0114	.0532	.0152	.0000	1.0	.78
Oregon	73	.6164	.2877	.0000	.0822	.0137	.0000	1.0	.35
Pacific Islander – all	588	.8929	.0867	.0000	.0204	.0000	.0000	-	-
California	80	.8875	.1000	.0000	.0125	.0000	.0000	1.0	1.0
Hawaii	508	.8937	.0846	.0000	.0217	.0000	.0000	1.0	1.0
Asian – all	12,703	.9128	.0837	.0023	.0013	.0000	.0000	-	-
California	5,072	.8893	.1049	.0040	.0018	.0000	.0000	1.0	.21
District of Columbia	209	.9043	.0957	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	1.0	1.0
Hawaii	2,401	.9434	.0558	.0004	.0004	.0000	.0000	1.0	1.0
Ontario	4,172	.9271	.0707	.0012	.0010	.0000	.0000	1.0	1.0
Oregon	219	.9224	.0731	.0000	.0046	.0000	.0000	1.0	1.0

* *P* values for departure from Hardy-Weinberg proportions.

† Northwest Oregon/Southwest Washington.

wt=wild-type.

Racial/ethnic groups, ordered by decreasing frequencies for C282Y-containing genotypes, were Whites, Native Americans, Hispanics, Blacks, Pacific Islanders, and Asians. Decreasing frequencies for H63D genotypes were Whites, Native Americans and Hispanics, Pacific Islanders and Asians, and Blacks. Frequencies of genotype wt/wt were greatest in Blacks (.919, 95% confidence interval [CI] .916–.922), Asians (.913, 95% CI .908–.918), and

Pacific Islanders (.893, 95% CI .865–.915).

The observed C282Y and H63D allele frequencies (Table 2) are consistent with the above findings for *HFE* C282Y and H63D genotypes across racial/ethnic groups.

Differences in *HFE* C282Y and H63D Among Field Centers

The distribution of genotypes varied significantly among field centers in four

racial/ethnic groups: Whites (overall $P=.021$); Hispanics (overall $P<.0001$); Blacks (overall $P<.0001$); and Asians (overall $P<.0001$). Differences among field centers were not statistically significant in Native Americans ($P=.12$) or Pacific Islanders ($P=.65$).

In Whites, statistically significant differences (ie, $P<.0033=.05/15$) were seen in *HFE* genotype frequencies between Alabama and California ($P=.0003$); C282Y homozygotes and

Table 2. *HFE* C282Y and H63D allele frequencies and expected C282Y/C282Y genotype frequencies among Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Screening (HEIRS) Study participants

Racial/Ethnic Group by Field Center	Subjects, <i>n</i>	C282Y	H63D	Expected C282Y/C282Y*
White – all	44,082	.0683	.1532	-
Alabama	9,316	.0742	.1535	.0055
California	4,428	.0597	.1521	.0036
District of Columbia	855	.0649	.1392	.0042
Hawaii	2,601	.0625	.1544	.0039
Ontario	14,665	.0693	.1545	.0048
Oregon†	12,217	.0670	.1524	.0045
Hispanic – all	12,459	.0166	.1025	-
Alabama	236	.0508	.1186	.0026
California	8,712	.0157	.1066	.0002
District of Columbia	2,513	.0113	.0798	.0001
Hawaii	418	.0203	.0969	.0004
Ontario	260	.0173	.1404	.0003
Oregon	320	.0500	.1359	.0025
Black – all	27,077	.0119	.0297	-
Alabama	9,670	.0108	.0247	.0001
California	345	.0145	.0304	.0002
District of Columbia	16,589	.0127	.0322	.0002
Ontario	194	.0077	.0541	.0001
Oregon	279	.0108	.0430	.0001
Native American – all	642	.0343	.1153	-
Alabama	112	.0357	.1339	.0013
California	93	.0376	.0914	.0014
District of Columbia	101	.0198	.0594	.0004
Ontario	263	.0342	.1274	.0012
Oregon	73	.0479	.1507	.0023
Pacific Islander – all	588	.0102	.0434	-
California	80	.0062	.0500	.0000
Hawaii	508	.0108	.0423	.0001
Asian – all	12,703	.0006	.0441	-
California	5,072	.0009	.0565	.0000
District of Columbia	209	.0000	.0478	.0000
Hawaii	2,401	.0002	.0283	.0000
Ontario	4,172	.0005	.0366	.0000
Oregon	219	.0023	.0365	.0000

* The expected C282Y/C282Y genotype frequencies under Hardy-Weinberg proportions are equal to the squared C282Y allele frequencies.

† Northwest Oregon/Southwest Washington

C282Y heterozygotes were more frequent in Alabama. The frequency of C282Y homozygotes ranged from .0042 (95% CI .002–.0076) in Hawaii to .0076 (95% CI .0060–.0096) in Alabama. The frequency of wt/wt ranged from .596 (95% CI .58.606) in Alabama to .637 (95% CI .605–.669) in Washington DC.

In Hispanics, statistically significant differences (ie, $P < .0033 = .05/15$) were seen in genotypes for 7 of the 15

pairwise comparisons: Oregon and Washington DC ($P < .0001$); Oregon and California ($P < .0001$); Oregon and Hawaii ($P = .0004$); Alabama and Washington DC ($P < .0001$); Alabama and California ($P < .0001$); California and Washington DC ($P < .0001$); and Ontario and Washington DC ($P < .0001$). The proportion of C282Y and H63D genotypes varied widely among the field centers. Oregon and Alabama had the highest frequencies of

C282Y genotypes. Ontario and Oregon had the highest frequencies of H63D genotypes (without C282Y). Washington DC had the lowest frequencies of C282Y-containing and H63D genotypes. The frequency of wt/wt in Hispanics ranged from .666 (95% CI .612–.715) in OR to .828 (95% CI .813–.842) in Washington DC.

In Blacks, statistically significant differences (ie, $P < .005 = .05/10$) were seen in genotypes between Washington DC and Alabama ($P < .0001$); C282Y-containing genotypes and H63D genotypes without C282Y were more frequent in Washington DC. The frequency of the wt/wt genotype ranged from .881 (95% CI .828–.920) in Ontario to .931 (95% CI .926–.936) in Alabama.

In Asians, statistically significant differences (ie, $P < .005 = .05/10$) were seen in genotypes between California and Hawaii ($P < .0001$) and between California and Ontario ($P < .0001$); H63D genotypes (without C282Y) were more frequent in California than in Hawaii and Ontario. The frequencies of wt/wt genotype ranged from .889 (95% CI .881–.897) in California to .943 (95% CI .933–.952) in HI.

The observed C282Y and H63D allele frequencies (Table 2) are consistent with the above findings for C282Y and H63D genotypes across field centers. The expected C282Y/C282Y genotype frequencies are given in Table 2. The expected C282Y/C282Y genotype frequencies in Whites recruited at two field centers are significantly smaller (ie, $P < .0083 = .05/6$) than those observed in Alabama. The C282Y/C282Y genotype frequency in Alabama Whites was .0076 (observed) versus .0055 (expected), and in Oregon Whites, it was .0066 (observed) versus .0045 (expected). Similar trends, although not statistically significant, were observed for C282Y/C282Y genotypes at other field centers. Estimated frequencies for all C282Y and H63D genotypes in HEIRS Study participants are reported elsewhere, after adjusting for the elevat-

The highest frequencies of C282Y and H63D were observed among Whites, and the lowest were observed among Blacks.

ed frequencies of C282Y homozygotes.⁷ The genotype and allele frequencies in Tables 1 and 2 are unadjusted.

DISCUSSION

We observed significant variations in *HFE* C282Y and H63D allele and genotype prevalences among different racial/ethnic groups recruited at HEIRS Study field centers in the United States and Canada. The highest frequencies of C282Y and H63D were observed among Whites, and the lowest were observed among Blacks. The highest frequencies of C282Y/C282Y, C282Y/H63D, and H63D/H63D, the genotypes associated with the highest risk for developing hemochromatosis, were also observed in Whites.¹⁰ These results are consistent with other reports of the frequencies of *HFE* alleles and genotypes in various racial/ethnic groups residing in different regions in North America.^{3,5,6,11-16}

The higher frequencies of C282Y and H63D-containing genotypes we observed in Whites than in other racial/ethnic groups are consistent with the higher prevalences of hemochromatosis in Whites.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ The frequencies of C282Y and H63D observed in this and other studies of US populations suggest that the prevalence of hemochromatosis phenotypes due to these mutations in racial/ethnic groups other than Whites is low.^{5-7,9,20,21} Regardless of racial/ethnic group, mean transferrin saturation and mean serum ferritin levels are higher in C282Y homozygotes than in

persons with other *HFE* genotypes, although all C282Y homozygotes do not have hemochromatosis phenotypes.^{5-7,9,21}

We observed significant differences in *HFE* genotype frequencies across field centers. Whites from Alabama had the highest frequencies of the C282Y genotypes, a probable consequence of the predominance of British Isles ancestry in Alabama Whites.²² With the exception of genotype C282Y/H63D, frequencies of C282Y genotypes among Hispanics were also highest in Alabama. H63D is older than C282Y²³ and is more common worldwide.³ H63D frequencies are highest in European populations.³ In the HEIRS Study, H63D genotype frequencies were highest in Whites. However, H63D frequency was also relatively high in Native Americans and in Hispanics. The frequencies of H63D in Native Americans in the HEIRS Study were similar to the frequencies of H63D in Whites and Hispanics. This finding is unexpected in light of evidence that Native Americans are descendants of Asians who migrated to the Americas through a Bering land bridge during the last ice age.²⁴ However, Caucasian admixture could partly account for the high frequency of H63D in Native Americans.

HEIRS Study participants probably differ with respect to reasons for seeking health care, race/ethnicity, age, sex, and socioeconomic status. Participants screened for hemochromatosis/iron overload in the California Health Appraisal Clinic⁵ and in New York⁶ studies were also recruited from primary care clinics. Thus, none of these three studies was population-based. The variation in the prevalence of *HFE* C282Y and H63D across geographic regions is also consistent with differences in the frequencies of other chromosome 6p genes among racial/ethnic groups in different regions of North America.²⁵⁻²⁷ The differences in allele frequencies observed within persons of a given

racial/ethnic group who reside in different geographic regions could represent subpopulations. For example, the frequency of C282Y may be higher in regions of North America, with a predominance of persons whose ancestors emigrated from Ireland,²⁸ the United Kingdom,²⁹ France,³⁰ and other areas of Europe where C282Y frequencies are high.^{3,31} In principle, this predominance has been demonstrated in central Alabama.^{4,11,22,32,33} The second highest C282Y frequency in the present study was observed in participants recruited in London, Ontario, an area in which 73% of the population trace their ancestry to England, Ireland and Scotland.³⁴

Most studies of *HFE* allele and genotype frequencies in North America examined insufficient numbers of participants to ascertain possible differences in ethnic subpopulations. Population substructure differences may exist that are not revealed by self-reporting of race/ethnicity.³⁵ For example, all Asians in the HEIRS Study were considered as a single racial/ethnic group, but this method does not differentiate Vietnamese participants enrolled in California, Chinese participants recruited in Ontario, and Japanese participants recruited in Hawaii. Admixture of ancestral populations probably contributes to the observed variations in gene frequencies. The departure of the present C282Y frequencies Hardy-Weinberg proportions in White populations from some field centers may reflect self-referral bias⁷ or a greater rate of illness among C282Y/C282Y homozygotes than in those without C282Y, but effects of population substructure may also be present.

A model for a population iron overload screening program based on geographic and racial/ethnic group distribution has been proposed.⁴ Based on the HEIRS Study data, *HFE* genotyping would provide a greater likelihood of detecting Whites at risk for developing hemochromatosis/iron overload than persons of other racial/ethnic groups.

DIFFERENCES IN *HFE* MUTATION FREQUENCIES - Acton et al

Although *HFE* mutations other than C282Y and H63D have been associated with hemochromatosis phenotypes, such mutations are present in very low frequencies in all populations studied thus far.^{36,37} Accordingly, their inclusion in a screening program is not likely to meaningfully increase the probability of detecting persons with or at risk for developing hemochromatosis/iron overload. Moreover, *HFE* genotyping alone would detect some Whites or persons in other racial/ethnic groups who might never develop iron overload and would fail to detect individuals with mutations in genes other than *HFE* that have been implicated in causing iron overload.³⁸

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The HEIRS Study was initiated and funded by NHLBI, in conjunction with NHGRI. The Study is supported by contracts N01-HC-05185 (University of Minnesota), N01-HC-05186 (Howard University), N01-HC-05188 (University of Alabama at Birmingham), N01-HC-05189 (Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research), N01-HC-05190 (University of California, Irvine), N01-HC-05191 (London Health Sciences Centre), and N01-HC-05192 (Wake Forest University). Additional support was provided by the University of Alabama at Birmingham General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) grant M01-RR00032, Southern Iron Disorders Center (JCB), Howard University GCRC grant M01-RR10284, Howard University Research Scientist Award UH1-HL03679-05 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and the Office of Research on Minority Health (VRG); and the General Clinical Research Center, College of Medicine, University of California, Irvine, with funds provided by the National Center for Research Resources, 5M01RR 00827-29, US Public Health Service.

We acknowledge the participation of these additional HEIRS Study Investigators:

University of Alabama at Birmingham: Deborah Dixon, Susan Ferguson, Richard Jones, Jerry McKnight, Charles Rivers, Diane Tucker, and Janice Ware.

University of California, Irvine: Hoda Anton-Culver, Jo Ann Baca, Thomas Bent, Lance Brunner, Michael Dao, Korey Jor-

gensen, Julie Kuniyoshi, Huan Le, Miles Masatsugu, Frank Meyskens, David Morohashi, Huan Nguyen, Sophocles Panagon, Chi Phung, Virgil Raymundo, Thomas Ton, Ann Walker, Lari Wenzel, and Argyrios Ziogas.

London Health Sciences Center: Erin Bloch, Subrata Chakrabarti, Arlene Fleischhauer, Helen Harrison, Bonnie Hogan, Kelly Jia, John Jordan, Sheila Larson, Edward Lin, Melissa Lopez, MDS Laboratories, Godfrey Moses, Lien Nguyen, Corry Pepper, Tara Power, Donald Sun, and Diane Woelfle.

Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research, Northwest and Hawaii, and Oregon Health and Science University: Mikel Aickin, Elaine Baker, Marjorie Erwin, Carol Lloyd, Nancy Press, Richard Press, Jacob Reiss, Cheryl Ritenbaugh, Aileen Uchida, Thomas Vogt, and Dwight Yim.

Howard University: Victor Gordeuk, Margaret Fadojutimi-Akinsiku, Oswaldo Castro, Debra White-Coleman, Melvin Gerald, Barbara Harrison, Ometha Lewis-Jack, Robert Murray, Shelley McDonald-Pinkett, Angela Rock, Juan Romagoza, and Robert Williams.

University of Minnesota and Fairview-University Medical Center: John Eckfeldt, Ronald McGlennen, Greg Rynders, and Michael Tsai.

Wake Forest University: David Reboussin, Roger Anderson, Elese Bostic, Brenda Craven, Shellie Ellis, Curt Furberg, Jason Griffin, Mark Hall, Darrin Harris, Leora Henkin, Sharon Jackson, Tamison Jewett, Mark King, Kurt Lohman, Laura Lovato, Joe Michaleckyj, Shana Palla, Tina Parks, Leah Passmore, Pradyumna Phatak, Stephen Rich, Andrea Ruggiero, Mara Vitolins, Gary Wolgast, and Daniel Zaccaro.

NIH/NHLBI: Phyliss Sholinsky, Ebony Bookman, Henry Chang, Richard Fabsitz, Cashell Jaquish, Teri Manolio, and Lisa O'Neill.

NIH/NHGRI: Elizabeth Thomson.

Jean MacCluer, Southwest Foundation for Biomedical Research, also contributed to the design of this study.

REFERENCES

1. Barton JC, McDonnell SM, Adams PC, et al. Management of hemochromatosis. Hemochromatosis Management Working Group. *Ann Intern Med.* 1998;129(11):932-939.

2. Feder JN, Gnirke A, Thomas W, et al. A novel MHC class I-like gene is mutated in patients with hereditary hemochromatosis. *Nat Genet.* 1996;13(4):399-408.
3. Merryweather-Clarke AT, Pointon JJ, Jouanolle AM, Rochette J, Robson KJ. Geography of *HFE* C282Y and H63D mutations. *Genet Test.* 2000;4(2):183-198.
4. Barton JC, Acton RT. Transferrin saturation phenotype and *HFE* genotype screening for hemochromatosis and primary iron overload: predictions from a model based on national, racial, and ethnic group composition in central Alabama. *Genet Test.* 2000;4(2):199-206.
5. Beutler E, Felitti V, Gelbart T, Ho N. The effect of *HFE* genotypes on measurements of iron overload in patients attending a health appraisal clinic. *Ann Intern Med.* 2000;133(5):329-337.
6. Phatak PD, Ryan DH, Cappuccio J, et al. Prevalence and penetrance of *HFE* mutations in 4865 unselected primary care patients. *Blood Cells Mol Dis.* 2002;29(1):41-47.
7. Adams PC, Reboussin DM, Barton JC, et al. Hemochromatosis and iron-overload screening of a racially diverse population. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;352:1769-1778.
8. McLaren CE, Barton JC, Adams PC, et al. Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Screening (HEIRS) study design for an evaluation of 100,000 primary care-based adults. *Am J Med Sci.* 2003;325(2):53-62.
9. Barton JC, Acton RT, Dawkins FW, et al, for the Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Screening Study Research Investigators. Initial screening transferrin saturation values, serum ferritin concentrations, and *HFE* genotypes in Whites and Blacks in the Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Screening (HEIRS) Study. *Genet Test.* 2005;9(3):231-241.
10. Adams PC, Walker AP, Acton RT. A primer for predicting risk of disease in *HFE*-linked hemochromatosis. *Genet Test.* 2001;5(4):311-316.
11. Barton JC, Shih WW, Sawada-Hirai R, et al. Genetic and clinical description of hemochromatosis probands and heterozygotes: evidence that multiple genes linked to the major histocompatibility complex are responsible for hemochromatosis. *Blood Cells Mol Dis.* 1997;23(1):135-145.
12. MacClenahan K, Moran R, McDermott S, Longshore J. Prevalence of hereditary hemochromatosis mutations in the upper Savannah region of South Carolina. *Proc Greenwood Genet Center.* 2000;19:45-50.
13. Bradley LA, Johnson DD, Palomaki GE, Haddow JE, Robertson NH, Ferrie RM. Hereditary hemochromatosis mutation frequencies in the general population. *J Med Screen.* 1998;5(1):34-36.

14. Steinberg KK, Cogswell ME, Chang JC, et al. Prevalence of C282Y and H63D mutations in the hemochromatosis (*HFE*) gene in the United States. *JAMA*. 2001;285(17):2216–2222.
15. Girouard J, Giguere Y, Delage R, Rousseau F. Prevalence of *HFE* gene C282Y and H63D mutations in a French-Canadian population of neonates and in referred patients. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2002;11(2):185–189.
16. Cogswell ME, Gallagher ML, Steinberg KK, et al. *HFE* genotype and transferrin saturation in the United States. *Genet Med*. 2003;5(4):304–310.
17. Phatak PD, Sham RL, Raubertas RF, et al. Prevalence of hereditary hemochromatosis in 16,031 primary care patients. *Ann Intern Med*. 1998;129(11):954–961.
18. Barton JC, Cheatwood SM, Key TJ, Acton RT. Hemochromatosis detection in a health screening program at an Alabama forest products mill. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2002;44(8):745–751.
19. McDonnell SM, Hover A, Gloe D, Ou CY, Cogswell ME, Grummer-Strawn L. Population-based screening for hemochromatosis using phenotypic and DNA testing among employees of health maintenance organizations in Springfield, Missouri. *Am J Med*. 1999;107(1):30–37.
20. Acton RT, Barton JC, Bell DS, Go RC, Roseman JM. *HFE* mutations in African American women with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Ethn Dis*. 2001;11(4):578–584.
21. Barton JC, Acton RT, Lovato L, et al. Initial screening transferrin saturation values, serum ferritin concentrations, and *HFE* genotypes in Native Americans and Whites in the Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Screening Study. *Clin Genet*. 2006;69(1):48–57.
22. Barton EH, Barton JC, Hollowell WW, Acton RT. Countries of ancestry reported by hemochromatosis probands and control subjects in central Alabama. *Ethn Dis*. 2004;14(1):73–81.
23. Ajioka RS, Jorde LB, Gruen JR, et al. Haplotype analysis of hemochromatosis: evaluation of different linkage-disequilibrium approaches and evolution of disease chromosomes. *Am J Hum Gen*. 1997;60:1439–1447.
24. Tokunaga K, Ohashi J, Bannai M, Juji T. Genetic link between Asians and native Americans: evidence from HLA genes and haplotypes. *Hum Immunol*. 2001;62(9):1001–1008.
25. Acton RT, Harman L, Go RC, Tseng ML, Bias W. Comparison of HLA phenotypes among African Americans from Alabama, Maryland, and North Carolina. *Transplant Proc*. 1993;25(4):2404–2407.
26. Kuffner T, Whitworth W, Jairam M, McNicholl J. HLA class II and TNF genes in African Americans from the Southeastern United States: regional differences in allele frequencies. *Hum Immunol*. 2003;64(6):639–647.
27. Cao K, Hollenbach J, Shi X, Shi W, Chopek M, Fernandez-Vina MA. Analysis of the frequencies of HLA-A, B, and C alleles and haplotypes in the five major ethnic groups of the United States reveals high levels of diversity in these loci and contrasting distribution patterns in these populations. *Hum Immunol*. 2001;62(9):1009–1030.
28. Ryan E, O'Keane C, Crowe J. Hemochromatosis in Ireland and *HFE*. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 1998;24(4):428–432.
29. Willis G, Jennings B, Goodman E, Fellows I, Wimperis J. A high prevalence of HLA-H 845A mutations in hemochromatosis patients and the normal population in eastern England. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 1997;23:288–291.
30. Mercier G, Bathelier C, Lucotte G. Frequency of the C282Y mutation of hemochromatosis in five French populations. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 1998;24(2):165–166.
31. Lucotte G, Dieterlen F. A European allele map of the C282Y mutation of hemochromatosis: Celtic versus Viking origin of the mutation? *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 2003;31(2):262–267.
32. Acton RT, Barton EH, Hollowell WW, Dreibelbis AL, Go RC, Barton JC. Ancestry reported by White adults with cutaneous melanoma and control subjects in central Alabama. *BMC Cancer*. 2004;4:47.
33. Barton JC, Acton RT. HLA-A and -B alleles and haplotypes in hemochromatosis probands with *HFE* C282Y homozygosity in central Alabama. *BMC Med Genet*. 2002;3(1):9.
34. Canada Statistics. Profile of citizenship, immigration, birthplace, generation status, ethnic origin, visible minorities and aboriginal peoples, for Canada, Provinces, Territories, Census divisions and Census subdivisions. 2001 Census. London, Canada: Canada Statistics; 2001. Table 95F0489XCB2001001.
35. Barnholtz-Sloan JS, Chakraborty R, Sellers TA, Schwartz AG. Examining population stratification via individual ancestry estimates versus self-reported race. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2005;14(6):1545–1551.
36. Barton JC, Sawada-Hirai R, Rothenberg BE, Acton RT. Two novel missense mutations of the *HFE* gene (I105T and G93R) and identification of the S65C mutation in Alabama hemochromatosis probands. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 1999;25(3–4):147–155.
37. Acton RT, Barton JC. Hereditary hemochromatosis. In: Fuchs J, Maurizio P, eds. *Encyclopedia of Medical Genomics and Proteomics*. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker; 2004:605–611.
38. Camaschella C. Understanding iron homeostasis through genetic analysis of hemochromatosis and related disorders. *Blood*. 2005;106(12):3710–3717.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Design concept of study: Acton, Barton, Snively, C. McLaren, Adams, Harris, Speechley, G. McLaren, Dawkins, Leien-decker-Foster

Acquisition of data: Acton, Barton, C. McLaren, Harris, G. McLaren, Dawkins, Leien-decker-Foster

Data analysis interpretation: Acton, Barton, Snively, C. McLaren, Adams, Harris, Speechley, Dawkins, Leien-decker-Foster, Holup, Balasubramanyam

Manuscript draft: Acton, Barton, Snively, C. McLaren, Adams, G. McLaren, Dawkins, Leien-decker-Foster, Holup, Balasubramanyam

Statistical expertise: Snively, C. McLaren, Speechley, Balasubramanyam

Acquisition of funding: Acton, Barton, Snively, Adams, Harris, G. McLaren, Leien-decker-Foster

Administrative, technical, or material assistance: Acton, Barton, Adams, Leien-decker-Foster

Supervision: Acton, Barton, Snively, C. McLaren, Adams, Harris, G. McLaren, Leien-decker-Foster