

ORIGINAL REPORTS: PAIN MANAGEMENT

A COMPARISON OF THE ARTHRITIS FOUNDATION SELF-HELP PROGRAM ACROSS THREE RACE/ETHNICITY GROUPS

Objective: Despite high prevalence rates of pain among older adults, relatively few studies have examined the impact of the Arthritis Foundation Self-Help Program (ASHP) in this age group, particularly older minority groups.

Design: This study compared the effects of the ASHP on groups of Hispanic, African American and non-Hispanic White older adults.

Setting: Three senior centers in New York City.

Participants: Data are presented for 112 (37 African American, 38 Hispanic and 37 non-Hispanic White) participants (mean age=75 years) age 60 and over with diverse non-cancer pain disorders.

Intervention: Participants enrolled in the 6-week Arthritis Self Help Course.

Main Outcome Measures: Participants were surveyed before and after course completion (in person) and at 18 weeks (by telephone). Demographic and clinical data were collected at baseline; outcomes included pain, mood, self-efficacy, and number of days per week spent exercising.

Results: All three groups experienced significant decreases in pain intensity ($P \leq .05$). Significant improvements were also found in mood scores for non-Hispanic White ($P = .01$) and Hispanic participants ($P = .03$). Hispanic participants also evidenced significant improvement in their confidence to self-manage pain ($P = .003$) and reported fewer arthritis-related symptoms ($P = .02$). All three race/ethnicity groups reported substantial increases in the number of days spent doing stretching, endurance and relaxation exercises ($P \leq .01$).

Conclusion: Positive results were noted for all three race/ethnicity groups, particularly in the areas of pain reduction and uptake of stretching, endurance and relaxation exercises. Our findings support efforts to disseminate broadly the ASHP in community settings that serve older African American, Hispanic and non-Hispanic white adults. (*Ethn Dis.* 2011; 21(4):444-450)

Key Words: Arthritis, Self Care, Ethnic Groups, Health Promotion

Samantha J. Parker, AB; Romulo Vasquez, MD, PT;
Emily K. Chen, AB; Charles R. Henderson, Jr, MS;
Karl Pillemer, PhD; Laura Robbins, DSW, CSW, MSW;
M. C. Reid, MD, PhD

INTRODUCTION

It is estimated that 46 million adults in the United States have some form of arthritis, including about half of all individuals aged >65 .¹ Arthritis and other rheumatic conditions remain the most common cause of disability in the United States,² often producing deleterious effects on individuals' physical activity, quality of life, and daily functioning.² Arthritis is also common among racial/ethnic minority populations.³ Although prevalence studies indicate that the disorder is somewhat less common among African Americans and Hispanics compared to non-Hispanic Whites,³ disease-related effects are disproportionately more severe in the first two groups.³

Self-management programs have been developed and implemented as a means of helping individuals better manage pain and other arthritis-related symptoms.⁴ For over two decades, the most widely-used program has been the

From the Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York (SP, RV, MCR) and Department of Human Development, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York (CH, EC, KP) and Division of Education and Academic Affairs, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, New York (LR).

Address correspondence to Cary Reid, MD, PhD; Division of Geriatrics and Gerontology; 525 E 68th Street, Box 39; Weill Cornell Medical College; New York, NY 10065; 212.746.1378; 212.746.4888; mcr2004@med.cornell.edu

Our study compares ASHP (Arthritis Foundation's Self-Help Program) outcomes in a race-stratified sample of older adults with diverse non-cancer pain disorders.

Arthritis Foundation Self-Help Program (ASHP), a community-based, pain management and self-efficacy enhancing course.⁵ Classes are taught by trained lay leaders or health professionals in diverse community settings.⁵ The efficacy of the ASHP has been studied extensively (although almost exclusively in non-Hispanic White populations), and the program has been found to improve participants' pain and pain-related symptoms.⁶ Several studies have found that participants sustain treatment gains over time, reinforcing the program's value.⁷ Despite this evidence base, these programs have reached few US adults with arthritis or arthritis-related diseases.⁸ In prior research,⁹ we documented that older African American and Hispanic adults reported significantly lower rates of prior exposure to self-management pain programs relative to non-Hispanic Whites. This finding provides strong support for future intervention efforts that preferentially target minority communities.

Table 1. Characteristics of study population

	African American (n=37)	Hispanic (n=38)	Non-Hispanic White (n=37)	All (N=112)	P
Demographic					
Mean (SD) age in years	71.4 (9.4)	74.5 (6.5)	79.4 (9.1)	75.0 (8.0)	<.01
Female, n (%)	32 (86)	30 (79)	31 (84)	93 (83)	.52
Education level					
High school or less, n (%)	18 (49)	29 (78)	10 (27)	57 (51)	<.01
Some college or higher, n (%)	19 (51)	8 (22)	27 (73)	54 (49)	
Lives alone, n (%)	25 (68)	20 (53)	19 (53)	64 (58)	.33
Retired not due to ill health (vs all others), n (%)	24 (65)	31 (82)	31 (84)	86 (77)	.13
Pain etiologies					
Osteoarthritis, n (%)	14 (44)	11 (35)	24 (69)	49 (50)	
Other,* n (%)	18 (56)	20 (65)	11 (31)	49 (50)	
Pain duration					
<5 years, n (%)	11 (34)	14 (41)	11 (31)	36 (36)	.27
5–9 years, n (%)	9 (28)	10 (29)	13 (37)	32 (32)	
≥10 years, n (%)	12 (38)	10 (29)	11 (31)	33 (33)	
Perceived health status					
Very good, n (%)	3 (8)	0 (0)	5 (14)	8 (8)	<.01
Good, n (%)	14 (39)	4 (11)	16 (44)	34 (32)	
Fair, n (%)	17 (47)	28 (80)	14 (39)	59 (55)	
Poor, n (%)	2 (6)	3 (9)	1 (3)	6 (6)	
Mean (SD) activity limitation† (0–16)	9.4 (4.6)	8.1 (5.4)	7.5 (3.7)	8.35 (4.7)	<.01
Medical comorbidities					
High blood pressure, n (%)	25 (76)	10 (61)	25 (71)	70 (69)	
Diabetes, n (%)	10 (30)	13 (39)	9 (26)	32 (32)	
Heart disease, n (%)	4 (12)	5 (15)	9 (26)	18 (18)	
Asthma, n (%)	4 (12)	8 (24)	2 (6)	14 (14)	
Hypercholesterolemia, n (%)	4 (11)	5 (13)	3 (8)	12 (11)	
Mean (SD) number of comorbidities†	1.9 (1.4)	2.1 (1.2)	2.3 (1.3)	2.1 (1.3)	.45

* Other reported causes of pain included back pain/spinal stenosis, fibromyalgia, gout, osteoporosis, and rheumatoid arthritis.

† Higher scores indicate less activity limitation.

Our study compares ASHP outcomes in a race-stratified sample of older adults with diverse non-cancer pain disorders. This population (older African American, Hispanic and non-Hispanic adults) is particularly appropriate for study because cultural differences in managing pain may moderate treatment outcomes, and few investigations have examined the effects of the ASHP in older adults,¹⁰ particularly older minority adults.³

METHODS

Study Setting and Sample Assembly

The investigators partnered with the New York City chapter of the Arthritis Foundation, a New York City based

elder service agency advocacy organization, and three multi-service senior centers in New York City: 1) a community center serving African American older adults in Harlem, 2) an agency providing services to older Hispanic residents in the Bronx, and 3) an agency that serves older, non-Hispanic Whites also located in the Bronx. Participant recruitment was done by center staff and varied by site, but included on-site question and answer sessions with research staff, flyer postings, and individual counseling with center staff. To enroll, participants had to: 1) be ≥60 years of age, 2) have a self-identified pain disorder (due to arthritis or arthritis-related disorder), and 3) be fluent in Spanish or English. All who expressed interest were enrolled in the study.

The analyses presented here are part of a larger study in which we undertook a multi-step adaptation of the ASHP for older adults from three race/ethnicity groups (African American, Hispanic, and non-Hispanic White). The first step in the process involved presenting the original ASHP to members of each group to gather recommendations regarding possible program adaptation. Program outcome data were collected as part of the process.

Data Collection Methods and Measures

Participants filled out the 37-item, self-administered Arthritis Foundation pre-course and post-course assessments, which were developed and have been widely employed by the Arthritis Foundation for more than two decades.⁵

Table 2. Outcomes by race/ethnicity status

			Adjusted outcomes			Adjusted change scores		
			African American (n=29)	Hispanic (n=38)	Non-Hispanic White (n=27)	White vs African American	White vs Hispanic	African American vs Hispanic
Pain								
Number of days with pain in past two weeks*		Time 1	9.74	5.82	12.24	2.50	6.42	-3.92
	SE		1.16	1.21	1.10	1.59	1.72	1.50
		Time 2	7.04	3.61	11.24	4.20	7.63	-3.43
Pain intensity (0-10)*	SE		1.27	1.24	1.19	1.74	1.81	1.59
		T2-T1	-2.70	-2.21	-1.00	1.70	1.21	.49
	P		.04	.06	.38	.32	.46	.78
Level of tension (0-20)†		Time 1	7.04	5.14	7.41	.37	2.27	-1.90
	SE		.65	.70	.63	.88	.98	.82
		Time 2	4.91	4.06	6.55	1.64	2.48	-.85
Attitudes about exercise	SE		.69	.70	.65	.94	1.01	.85
		T2-T1	-2.13	-1.08	-.86	1.27	.21	1.05
	P		<.001	.02	.05	.05	.73	.11
Affective								
Mood in past two weeks (1-5)*		Time 1	2.39	2.22	3.08	.69	.86	-.18
	SE		.24	.25	.23	.32	.35	.31
		Time 2	2.70	1.59	2.35	.28	.76	-.48
Level of tension (0-20)†	SE		.27	.28	.24	.36	.39	.36
		T2-T1	.31	-.63	-.73	-.41	-1.0	-.30
	P		.28	.03	.01	.30	.80	.45
Attitudes about exercise		Time 1	11.23	11.18	10.61	-.62	-.56	-.06
	SE		.88	.93	.85	1.21	1.32	1.14
		Time 2	12.31	10.93	11.98	-.33	1.05	-1.39
Confidence to self manage pain/arthritis symptoms	SE		.98	.96	.91	1.34	1.39	1.23
		T2-T1	1.08	-.25	1.37	.29	1.61	-1.33
	P		.28	.79	.13	.83	.21	.33
Summary confidence score (0-40)†		Time 1	1.64	2.09	2.52	.88	.42	.45
	SE		.41	.44	.38	.54	.60	.54
		Time 2	.87	1.50	2.02	1.15	.52	.63
Change in arthritis symptoms in past two two weeks (1-5)*	SE		.43	.45	.39	.58	.63	.55
		T2-T1	-.77	-.59	-.49	.28	.10	.18
	P		.07	.17	.18	.62	.86	.76
Summary confidence score (0-40)†		Time 1	28.44	25.64	24.04	-4.40	-1.60	-2.80
	SE		2.04	2.16	1.97	2.79	3.06	2.64
		Time 2	29.88	32.02	25.38	-4.50	-6.64	2.14
Change in arthritis symptoms in past two two weeks (1-5)*	SE		2.29	2.22	2.11	3.11	3.22	2.85
		T2-T1	1.44	6.38	1.34	-.10	-5.04	4.94
	P		.52	.003	.50	.97	.08	.10
Level of fatigue (0-10)*		Time 1	2.85	2.23	3.18	.34	.95	-.61
	SE		.19	.21	.18	.26	.29	.26
		Time 2	2.41	1.62	2.97	.57	1.36	-.79
Level of fatigue (0-10)*	SE		.23	.22	.20	.30	.31	.29
		T2-T1	-.44	-.61	-.21	.23	.41	-.18
	P		.10	.02	.37	.51	.24	.63
Level of fatigue (0-10)*		Time 1	5.93	4.13	5.72	-.21	1.6	-1.80
	SE		.62	.67	.58	.82	.91	.80
		Time 2	5.04	4.15	5.34	.30	1.19	-.89
Level of fatigue (0-10)*	SE		.69	.66	.62	.92	.95	.86
		T2-T1	-.89	.02	-.38	.51	-.41	.91
	P		.22	.97	.54	.60	.66	.36

Table 2. Continued

			Adjusted outcomes			Adjusted change scores		
			African American (n=29)	Hispanic (n=38)	Non-Hispanic White (n=27)	White vs African American	White vs Hispanic	African American vs Hispanic
Level of stiffness (0–10)*		Time 1	6.18	3.28	5.22	−.96	1.94	−2.90
	SE		.71	.76	.68	.96	1.06	.91
		Time 2	4.72	3.15	5.08	.36	1.94	−1.57
	SE		.76	.77	.71	1.03	1.11	.95
		T2–T1	−1.46	−.13	−.14	1.32	.00	1.33
	P		.02	.82	.81	.12	1.00	.13

* Lower scores indicate desirable outcome.

† Higher scores indicate desirable outcome.

Time 1=baseline; Time 2=6-week follow-up.

Table entries in the first 3 columns and 2 rows for each outcome represent adjusted mean scores. Mean differences for Time 2 minus Time 1 and the probability for the test of difference are given in the third row for each outcome. Mean differences for contrasts of race/ethnicity and probabilities for the tests of differences appear in the 3 rightmost columns. The second entries in each cell are standard errors in the cells for means and Ps in the cells for mean differences. The entries in the third row and 3 rightmost columns give the tests of the time × ethnicity interactions (the 2 degrees of freedom for ethnicity partitioned into single-d.f. interactions with time). All results are from models that adjust for participants' age, sex, education, pain type (osteoarthritis vs other), duration of pain, perceived health status, and number of comorbidities.

Demographic measures included age, sex, race/ethnicity, and educational level. Pain etiologies (eg, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, other), pain duration (less than 5 years, 5–9 years, or more than 10 years), perceived health status (very good, good, fair, or poor), and medical comorbidities were also ascertained.

Outcomes included number of days of pain (0–14), average pain intensity (0–10), and mood change in the past two weeks (1–5), as well as level of tension during the past 30 days (0–20). The instrument also contained a 5-item set of questions focusing on reasons for not exercising; affirmative responses were summed to create a summary score (0–5). Four questions about confidence in managing pain and arthritis symptoms were asked, each using a 10-point response scale (0=not at all confident to 10=extremely confident). The 4 items were summed (in the current study) to provide an estimate (0–40) of a participant's self-perceived confidence to manage pain and other arthritis-related symptoms successfully. Other questions included change (over the past 2 weeks) in arthritis symptomatology (1–5), activity limitation (0–16), which captures the extent to which a person's health limits their ability to do certain activities of daily living,

fatigue (0–10) and stiffness (0–10). In addition, participants estimated the number of days per week they practiced stretching (0–7 days), endurance (0–7 days), and relaxation (0–7 days) exercises.

Three months after the last class (18 weeks after enrollment), a research team member telephoned participants to determine how many days in the last week they practiced the stretching (0–7 days), endurance (0–7 days), and relaxation (0–7 days) exercises. A bilingual community health worker telephoned Spanish-speaking participants.

Intervention

The ASHP consists of six weekly classes (lasting about 2 hours each) and accommodates up to 15 participants per class.⁵ Core modules include: 1) education regarding pain and its consequences, 2) relaxation skills training, 3) cognitive coping skills training, 4) problem solving, and 5) communication skills training. Weekly action plan activities teach participants goal setting skills and enhance feelings of self-efficacy and support. Participants also learn about the importance of stretching, endurance, and relaxation exercises to maintain function and manage pain. The Spanish-language ASHP, imple-

mented at the site serving Hispanic participants, had already been culturally adapted for use by Spanish-speaking individuals. The Spanish program differs from the English program in two ways: 1) each class contains between 15 and 25 minutes of time dedicated to practicing the exercises; and 2) participants are given two instructional compact discs (CDs); one provides instruction on exercise and the other on relaxation techniques. Both CDs are used during class and participants are instructed to use them at home to assist with practicing the exercises. All participants are given an arthritis education book published by the Arthritis Foundation in English and Spanish.

The program was implemented three times at each center with different groups of participants, consecutively between July 2008 and March 2009. All classes were taught by instructors certified by the Arthritis Foundation. Participants were compensated up to a total of 70 dollars for time spent participating in 6 weekly phone interviews and 1 focus group. (The purpose of these assessments was to gain feedback about the ASHP and suggestions for program adaptation.) The study was approved by the Weill Cornell Medical College Institutional Review Board.

Statistics

Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables. Outcome variables were analyzed in a general linear mixed model that included fixed classification factors for race/ethnicity status, time (baseline vs follow-up), the interaction of these 2 factors, and individuals as levels of a random classification factor. Because participant race and program site are almost completely confounded, a fixed factor for site could not be included along with the race/ethnicity variable (there were too few sites to include sites as levels of a random factor). The model included 7 additional variables: participant's age, sex, education, pain type (osteoarthritis vs other), duration of pain, perceived health status, and number of co-morbidities.

Two race/ethnicity contrasts (non-Hispanic White vs African American and non-Hispanic White vs Hispanic) were specified a priori. A third contrast (Hispanic vs African American) is presented as additional information of potential interest. The contrasts are not independent and are not intended to indicate a set of independent results.

We also present effect sizes – calculated as the mean difference between follow-up and baseline groups divided by the baseline standard deviation

Our study suggests that the ASHP is an effective tool for helping older Hispanic, African American, as well as non-Hispanic White adults self-manage pain and arthritis symptoms and perhaps most importantly to increase their level of physical activity.

– for selected outcome variables in the text.

RESULTS

Sample Characteristics

One hundred twelve participants enrolled in the program. Non-Hispanic White participants were significantly older (79.4 years) than African American (71.4) and Hispanic (74.5) participants (Table 1). Most participants were female (83%) and lived alone (58%). Significant between-group differences were also present with respect to educational level, types of pain endorsed, perceived health status, and activity limitation score (Table 1).

Ninety four participants (84%) finished the course and completed a post-course evaluation. Of the 18 participants who dropped out, most did so because of a lack of interest in the program ($n=8$) or illness ($n=4$). Of the dropouts, 8 were African American and 10 were non-Hispanic White. There were no significant differences between dropouts and completers, with the exception that dropouts reported more education (some college or higher) than completers (100% vs 49%, $P<.01$).

Overall attendance rate, defined as the total number of classes attended divided by the total number of possible classes (6 per participant) was 84%. Fifty-five (49%) participants attended all six classes and 30 (27%) completed five classes. The mean number of classes attended was 5.0 (range 1–6), with Hispanic participants evidencing a slightly higher mean attendance compared with African American and non-Hispanic White participants (5.2 vs 5.0 vs 4.9, $P=.61$).

Outcomes

All 3 groups experienced significant decreases in pain intensity (Table 2). Significant improvements were also found in mood scores for non-Hispanic White ($P=.01$, effect size [es]=.54) and

Hispanic participants ($P=.03$, $es=.41$). Hispanic participants also evidenced significant improvement in their confidence to self-manage pain ($P=.003$, $es=.49$) and reported experiencing significantly fewer arthritis-related symptoms ($P=.02$, $es=.49$) after taking the course.

Of the 94 participants evaluated at week 6, 90 (96%) were re-interviewed three months later and asked about their use of stretching, endurance, and relaxation exercises as a means of managing pain. All three groups reported substantial increases in their use of the exercises, with the largest changes occurring in the Hispanic group (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Our results indicate that the ASHP confers benefits in the area of pain reduction for older adults irrespective of race/ethnicity status. Significant increases in the number of days spent doing stretching, endurance and relaxation exercises were noted for all three groups. Although physical exercise is widely recommended for the treatment of arthritis-related pain,¹¹ it is infrequently employed by older adults with arthritis or other pain-related disorders.¹¹ Given that prior studies have documented infrequent use of cognitive methods (eg, relaxation) as a means of managing pain in this age group,¹² our results further suggest that the ASHP may be particularly helpful at introducing and reinforcing use of these pain management techniques.

Improvement in other domains was variable. Hispanic participants demonstrated the greatest number of positive outcomes following program completion, particularly in the areas of mood, total arthritis symptoms, and confidence managing pain and other arthritis-related symptoms. The greatest gains occurred in the use of both physical and cognitive exercises as a means of managing pain, with substantial increases noted in the number of days Hispanic

Table 3. Number of days spent doing the stretching, endurance, and relaxation exercises at baseline, 6 and 18 weeks

			Adjusted Outcomes			Adjusted Change Scores		
			African American (n=29)	Hispanic (n=34)	Non-Hispanic White (n=27)	White vs. African American	White vs. Hispanic	African American vs. Hispanic
Stretching	SE	Time 1	3.49	2.59	3.02	-.47	.43	-.90
			.51	.53	.48	.69	.74	.67
	SE	Time 2	5.06	4.24	4.09	-.97	-.14	-.83
			.56	.54	.52	.76	.77	.71
	SE	Time 3	5.83	5.30	4.81	-1.03	-.49	-.54
			.58	.55	.54	.76	.79	.73
	P	T2-T1	1.58	1.65	1.08	-.50	-.57	.07
T3-T1		2.35	2.71	1.79	-.56	-.92	.36	
Endurance	SE	Time 1	3.51	2.63	3.03	-.38	.50	-.88
			.49	.50	.46	.66	.71	.64
	SE	Time 2	5.22	3.96	4.17	-1.05	.21	-1.26
			.53	.51	.49	.72	.74	.67
	SE	Time 3	5.60	5.18	5.20	-.40	.02	-.42
			.53	.51	.49	.70	.73	.67
	P	T2-T1	1.71	1.33	1.04	-.67	-.29	-.37
T3-T1		2.09	2.55	2.07	-.02	-.48	.46	
Relaxation	SE	Time 1	3.15	1.83	2.30	-.85	.47	-1.32
			.48	.49	.45	.65	.69	.63
	SE	Time 2	5.25	4.26	4.58	-.67	.32	-.99
			.52	.50	.48	.71	.72	.66
	SE	Time 3	5.29	5.79	5.31	.02	-.48	.50
			.53	.50	.47	.68	.70	.67
	P	T2-T1	2.10	2.43	2.28	.18	-.15	.33
T3-T1		2.14	3.96	3.01	.88	-.94	1.82	
P		.001	<.001	<.001	.29	.22	.03	

Time 1 (T1)=baseline; Time 2 (T2)=6-week follow-up; and Time 3 (T3)=18 week follow-up.

Table entries in the first 3 columns and 3 rows for each outcome represent adjusted mean scores. Mean differences for Time 2 minus Time 1 and for Time 3 minus Time 1 and the probability for the tests of differences are given in the third and fourth rows for each outcome. Mean differences for contrasts of race/ethnicity and probabilities for the tests of differences appear in the 3 rightmost columns. The second entries in each cell are standard errors in the cells for means and Ps in the cells for mean differences. The entries in the fourth and fifth rows and 3 rightmost columns give the tests of the time × ethnicity interactions (the 2 degrees of freedom for ethnicity partitioned into single-d.f. interactions with time). All results are from models that adjust for participants' age, sex, education, pain type (osteoarthritis vs other), duration of pain, perceived health status, and number of comorbidities.

participants spent doing stretching (95% increase, *es*=.85), endurance (98% increase, *es*=.85) and relaxation (216% increase, *es*=1.35) exercises. Although the English and Spanish programs share the same core concepts, programmatic differences exist. The Spanish program has participants practice the aerobic exercises for up to 25 minutes in each class. In addition, Spanish speaking participants receive two CDs for use at home, which helps to reinforce both exercise and relaxation practice. These differences could have contributed to the superior outcomes

observed in the Hispanic group. Consideration should be given to adapting the English-language ASHP program by adding exercise practice during class along with provision of the practice CDs for use at home.

Cultural factors might also explain some of the observed differences. Hispanics have been found to use passive styles of coping, especially praying and hoping, more than non-Hispanic Whites.¹³ The ASHP teaches participants a variety of active coping techniques such as exercise, relaxation, and distraction, and encourages them to

become more confident in their ability to constructively respond to pain. For Hispanics who use passive coping when facing pain, it is possible that teaching active coping and self-efficacy could lead to more positive outcomes than those seen in the other two groups.

Our results add to the limited literature on the ASHP's effects in minority adults. Prior research has demonstrated positive outcomes among African American¹⁴ and Hispanic adults,¹⁵ where the latter group received the Spanish Arthritis Empowerment Program, which is a cultural adaptation

of the ASHP. Moderate treatment effects were found in the areas of self-efficacy for managing pain and self-care behaviors, including use of the stretching and aerobic exercises.^{14,15} A prior meta-analysis of self-management pain programs (that included studies of the ASHP and other programs as well) found small, short-term treatment effects in the area of pain and disability.¹⁶ However, ongoing use of self-care behaviors (eg, physical and cognitive exercises) may well lead to improvements in pain and function over time that would not be detected in short-term studies. Our study suggests that adherence with exercise and relaxation techniques over time might mediate some of this effect. Efforts to determine the programs' long-term effects on levels of pain, function and quality of life are therefore needed.

This study has several limitations that warrant consideration. First, the positive results may be due to factors other than the intervention given the absence of a control condition. Senior centers provide a strong social support network for clients, which could have reinforced the impact of the ASHP. Participants were also given \$10 for each session they attended as compensation for time spent doing the weekly telephone interviews and focus group after the sixth and final class, which likely contributed to the high attendance rate.

Our study suggests that the ASHP is an effective tool for helping older Hispanic, African American, as well as non-Hispanic White adults self-manage pain and arthritis symptoms and perhaps most importantly to increase their level of physical activity. Adaptation of the English-language version of the ASHP to include adding exercise practice during class should also be considered. Because disparities in pain management continue to exist with respect

to minority status,³ increased efforts should be directed towards implementing this program in settings that serve older adults, particularly older minority adults.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research project was supported by a grant from the National Institute of Nursing Research (R21 NR010200). Additional support was provided by the National Institute on Aging: An Edward R. Roybal Center Grant (P30 AG022845) and the John A. Hartford Foundation (A Center of Excellence in Geriatric Medicine Award).

REFERENCES

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevalence of doctor-diagnosed arthritis and arthritis-attributable activity limitation—United States, 2003–2005. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2006;55(40):1089–1092.
2. McNeil JM, Binette J. Prevalence of disabilities and associated health conditions among adults - United States, 1999 (Reprinted from *MMWR*, vol 50, pg 120–125, 2001). *JAMA.* 2001;285(12):1571–1572.
3. Green CR, Anderson KO, Baker TA, Campbell LC, Decker S, Fillingim RB, et al. The unequal burden of pain: confronting racial and ethnic disparities in pain. *Pain Med.* 2003; 4(3):277–294.
4. Brady TJ, Jernick SL, Hootman JM, Sniezek JE. Public health interventions for arthritis: expanding the toolbox of evidence-based interventions. *J Womens Health.* 2009;18: 1905–1917.
5. Arthritis-Foundation. Arthritis Foundation Self-Help Program Safe and Effective: Outcomes Summary. http://www.arthritis.org/media/programs/Evaluation_Summary_SelfHelp_HIGH.pdf. Accessed August 8, 2011.
6. Lorig K, Lubeck D, Kraines RG, Seleznick M, Holman HR. Outcomes of self-help education for patients with arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1985;28(6):680–685.
7. Hirano PC, Laurent DD, Lorig K. Arthritis patient education studies, 1987–1991: a review of the literature. *Patient Educ Counsel.* 1994;24(1):9–54.
8. Brady TJ, Kruger J, Helmick CG, Callahan LF, Boutaugh ML. Intervention programs for arthritis and other rheumatic diseases. *Health Educ Behav.* 2003;30(1):44–63.

9. Townley S, Papaleontiou M, Amanfo L, et al. Preparing to implement a self-management program for back pain in New York City senior centers: What do prospective consumers think? *Pain Med.* 2010;11(3):405–415.
10. Reid MC, Papaleontiou M, Ong A, Breckman R, Wethington E, Pillemer K. Self-management strategies to reduce pain and improve function among older adults in community settings: a review of the evidence. *Pain Med.* 2008;9(4):409–424.
11. Barry LC, Kerns RD, Guo Z, Duong BD, Iannone L, Reid MC. Identification of strategies used to cope with chronic pain among older primary care patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2004;52(6):950–956.
12. Austrian J, Kerns R, Reid MC. Perceived barriers to trying self-management approaches for chronic pain in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2005;53(5):856–861.
13. Edwards RR, Moric M, Husfeldt B, Buvaendran A, Ivankovich O. Ethnic similarities and differences in the chronic pain experience: a comparison of African American, Hispanic, and White patients. *Pain Med.* 2005;6(1): 88–98.
14. Goeppinger J, Armstrong B, Schwartz T, Ensley D, Brady TJ. Self-management education for persons with arthritis: managing comorbidity and eliminating health disparities. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2007;57(6):1081–1088.
15. Wong AL, Harker JO, Lau VP, Shatzel S, Port LH. Spanish arthritis empowerment program: a dissemination and effectiveness study. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2004;51(3):332–336.
16. Warsi A, LaValley MP, Wang PS, Avorn J, Solomon DH. Arthritis self-management education program: a meta-analysis of the effect on pain and disability. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2003;48(8):2207–2213.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Study concept and design: Henderson, Pillemer, Robbins, Reid
Acquisition of data: Parker, Vasquez, Reid
Data analysis and interpretation: Parker, Vasquez, Chen, Henderson, Pillemer, Robbins, Reid
Manuscript draft: Parker, Vasquez, Chen, Henderson, Pillemer, Robbins, Reid
Statistical expertise: Henderson, Reid
Acquisition of funding: Pillemer, Robbins, Reid
Administrative: Parker, Vasquez, Chen
Supervision: Pillemer, Robbins, Reid