Objective: To investigate the association between statin use, incident dementia, and Alzheimer disease (AD) in a prospective elderly African American cohort.

Design: Two stage design with a screening interview followed by a comprehensive in-home assessment conducted over an eight-year period. Diagnoses of incident AD and dementia were made by consensus. Statin use was collected at each evaluation. Measurements of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), C-reactive protein (CRP) and APOE genotype were obtained from baseline blood samples. Logistic regression models were used to test the association of statin use on incident dementia and AD and its possible association with lipid and CRP levels.

Setting: Indianapolis, Indiana

Participants: From an original cohort of 2629 participants, a subsample of 974 African Americans aged >70 years with normal cognition, at least one follow up evaluation, complete statin information, and biomarker availability were included.

Main Outcome Measures: Incident dementia and incident AD.

Results: After controlling for age at diagnosis, sex, education level, presence of the APOE 4 allele and history of stroke for the incident dementia model, baseline use of statins was associated with a significantly decreased risk of incident dementia (OR=.44, \(P=.029\)) and incident AD (OR=.40, \(P=.029\)). The significant effect of statin use on reduced AD risk and trend for dementia risk was found only for those participants who reported consistent use over the observational period (incident AD: \(P=.034\); incident dementia: \(P=.061\)). Additional models found no significant interaction between baseline statin use, baseline LDL, or CRP level and incident dementia/AD.

Conclusions: Consistent use of statin medications during eight years of follow-up resulted in significantly reduced risk for incident AD and a trend toward reduced risk for incident dementia. Ethn Dis. 2015;25(3):345-354.
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INTRODUCTION

A recent American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) report found consistent evidence supporting the use of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors, or “statins” for primary prevention as well as secondary prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD). 1 The evidence for the use of statins to prevent dementia is less clear. Some individual studies from a variety of countries, including Europe, Asia, and North America, have reported an association between statin use and a reduced risk of dementia and Alzheimer disease (AD), 2-6 as well as evidence of reduced AD-related neuropathological changes. 7 Results from systematic reviews and meta-analyses, however, have been less positive. Neither the analysis conducted by Zhou et al 8 nor the systematic review by Muangpaisan et al 9 revealed any significant effect of statins on the risk for dementia or AD. A recent analysis by Wong et al did suggest that statins might provide a slight benefit in prevention of AD and dementia but noted considerable heterogeneity in individual studies. 10 The putative mechanism for the beneficial effects of statins on dementia is also unclear. Reducing both LDL cholesterol levels and levels of CRP have been reported to be important mechanisms associated
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with decreasing the risk of cardiovascular events.\textsuperscript{11} In contrast, in most studies that report significant protective effects of statins on dementia the association does not appear to be related to changes in lipid levels.\textsuperscript{12-15}

The incidence of AD and dementia has been reported to be higher in African Americans than in Caucasians\textsuperscript{16} as has the incidence of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease.\textsuperscript{17} Because cardiovascular disease and risk factors have also been implicated as risk factors for dementia,\textsuperscript{18} it suggests that statins may be particularly useful for dementia prevention in African Americans. The recent identification of the \textit{ABCA7} genetic variant as a risk factor for AD in African Americans, a genetic variant that affects lipid metabolism, also suggests that an exploration of lipid and inflammatory markers in this population, despite the previously reported negative findings, would be worthwhile.\textsuperscript{19}

The Indianapolis-Ibadan dementia project, a comparative study involving elderly African Americans and Yoruba (Ibadan, Nigeria), provided an opportunity to study the effects of statin use in African Americans. The recent identification of the \textit{ABCA7} genetic variant as a risk factor for AD in African Americans, a genetic variant that affects lipid metabolism, also suggests that an exploration of lipid and inflammatory markers in this population, despite the previously reported negative findings, would be worthwhile.\textsuperscript{19}

The Indianapolis-Ibadan dementia project, a comparative study involving elderly African Americans and Yoruba (Ibadan, Nigeria), provided an opportunity to study the effects of statin use in African Americans.\textsuperscript{20} Statins were seldom used in Yoruba. In a previous three-year prospective analysis of the effects of statin use on cognitive decline in African Americans, a significant protective effect was found between baseline statin use and cognitive decline three years later. However, only statin use at baseline conferred an advantage; no significant association with cognitive function was seen for later statin use.\textsuperscript{21} In this analysis, we now report on the effects of statin use on incident dementia and AD in this study population over an eight-year period. Measurements of lipid and inflammatory markers (LDL and CRP) were also included.

\section*{Methods}

\subsection*{Participants}

The Indianapolis-Ibadan Dementia Project is a longitudinal, prospective, community-based epidemiologic comparative study of rates and risk factors for dementia and Alzheimer disease in elderly African Americans living in Indianapolis, Indiana and Yoruba in Ibadan, Nigeria.\textsuperscript{20} This analysis is confined to participants from the Indianapolis cohort. In 1992, 2212 participants were enrolled using a random sampling of community-dwelling African Americans from 29 contiguous census tracts in Indianapolis in which African Americans represented 80\% of the population in the 1990 US census. In 2001, an enrichment cohort of 1893 participants aged $\geq$70 years drawn from Medicare beneficiaries was added to the surviving original participants. Follow-up evaluations were conducted in both cohorts every two to three years at 2004, 2007 and 2009. The study was approved by the Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis Institutional Review Board, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the study-related procedures.

\subsection*{Study Design}

At each evaluation wave of the study, participants were assessed using a two-stage sampling design. The first stage of the assessment consisted of an in-home visit, during which time interviewers gathered: anthropometric measures (height, weight, and blood pressure); medical, social, and family history including comorbidities; medication use; and conducted the Community Screening Interview for Dementia (CSI-D). Interviews with the participants and informants were recorded. Participants were then stratified into good, intermediate, or poor performance groups according to CSI-D scores and/or a change in performance on previous evaluations. All of the poor performance group (100\%), 75\% of the intermediate performance group, and 2.5\% of good performers were then invited to participate in the second stage of assessment.

\subsection*{Clinical Assessment and Diagnosis}

The clinical assessment was conducted during a home visit by a specially trained research nurse and psychometrician. The assessment included the following: 1) a neuropsychological test battery adapted from the Consortium to Establish a Registry for AD (CERAD)\textsuperscript{22} (include-
ing measures of memory, language, attention, calculation, orientation, executive function, and visuospatial function). Normative values for this battery in this population had been developed in a separate study;\textsuperscript{23,24} 2) a standardized neurological and physical examination and functional status review (The Clinician Home-based Interview to assess Function, or CHIF);\textsuperscript{25} and 3) a structured interview with a close relative based on an adaptation of the Cambridge Examination for Mental Disorders of the Elderly in the Community (CAMDEX).\textsuperscript{26}

A consensus diagnostic conference by a group of clinicians that included the disciplines of geriatric psychiatry, neurology and neuropsychology was held in which all clinical assessment data were reviewed by the clinical team to reach an agreement on diagnosis. Diagnosis of dementia and subtype was made according to ICD-10 and DSM-IV-TR criteria.\textsuperscript{27, 28} Participants diagnosed with dementia reached the endpoint of the study and were not included in additional follow-up evaluations.

**Medications**

Names of medications were recorded from the participants’ bottles at each evaluation by the interviewer. Medications were then classified into various categories including statins (simvastatin, atorvastatin, pravastatin, fluvastatin, cerivastatin, and lovastatin), and non-statin lipid-lowering agents (gemfibrozil, colestipol, and cholestyramine). Participants using only non-statin lipid lowering agents (n=25) were excluded from this analysis.

**Laboratories**

During the 2001 evaluation, blood samples were collected from participants who consented to blood collection. Biomarkers including low density lipoprotein (LDL) level, and CRP level were measured in plasma samples. In addition, the APOE genotype was also ascertained from this blood sample or from previous blood draws or filter cards. For this analysis, the APOE genotype was dichotomized into being an APOE ε4 carrier or not.

**Statistical Analysis**

To combine data from both the original and enrichment cohorts and to use biomarker information collected at the 2001 evaluation, we used the 2001 evaluation as the baseline of this analysis. Participants with incident dementia or AD were diagnosed by the consensus panel in 2004, 2007, or 2009. Those diagnosed with dementia in 2001 were excluded from this analysis. Participants with normal cognition consisted of those who were either diagnosed as normal or in the good performance group by screening at their last evaluation. Statin use over time was classified into three categories based upon use during all participating waves: continuous use, intermittent use, or no use.

T-tests for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact tests for categorical variables were used to compare those with incident dementia and incident AD with the participants with normal cognition on demographics and individual characteristics including comorbidities and laboratory values from 2001. They were also used to compare demographics, comorbidities, and laboratory values between participants included in this analysis with those who had normal cognition at baseline but were excluded from this analysis as well as between participants who used statins at baseline and those who did not. Variables that were significantly different between the analysis sample and the excluded participants were included in subsequent logistic regression models to ensure unbiased parameter estimates on the association between statin use and incident dementia/AD under the assumption that the probability of missing data depends on these demographic variables.\textsuperscript{29} We defined age at diagnosis as the age for a dementia diagnosis for those with incident dementia and as the age at the last evaluation for those with normal cognition. Since the participants in our cohorts were evaluated at predetermined times, age at dementia diagnosis is not a random variable and survival models cannot be appropriately applied. We chose to use logistic regression models as previous researchers have shown that the logistic model conditioning on age at diagnosis is more appropriate when time to event is not observed.\textsuperscript{30, 31}

Logistic regression was used to test the association of statin use on incident dementia and AD compared to having normal cognition. Additional logistic regression models on incident dementia and AD included interactions between statin use at baseline and low baseline CRP (≤2 mg/L) and elevated baseline LDL (≥130 mg/dL) levels in addition to the main effects for the biomarkers.\textsuperscript{11} Non-significant interactions were removed from the models. All models were adjusted for
age at diagnosis, sex, years of education, and APOE ε4 carrier status. Comorbidities were also investigated in the logistic regression models and non-significant ones were removed.

RESULTS

From the original 1992 and 2001 cohorts a total of 2629 participants underwent baseline evaluation in 2001. Of these, 111 were diagnosed with dementia at this evaluation and excluded from this analysis. There were 1820 participants who had at least one follow-up evaluation from 2004 to 2009, of which 1465 either had incident dementia or were in the normal cognition group. Of these, 1091 participants had provided blood samples for the APOE genotype and 974 had statin use information at all participating waves (Figure 1). Approximately 41% of statin users at baseline were taking simvastatin, 42% atorvastatin, 9% pravastatin, 5% fluvastatin, 2% cerivastatin, and 2% lovastatin.

The 974 participants in our study were more likely to be female (69.7% vs 65.6%; $P=0.0496$), younger (76.6 vs 78.9 years; $P<0.0001$), and had more years of education (11.6 vs 10.8 years; $P<0.0001$) than the 1544 subjects with normal cognition with baseline evaluations excluded from the study. Age, sex, and years of education were included in subsequent logistic models for incident dementia/AD to minimize potential bias from missing data.

Over the course of the study, 65 (6.7%) participants were diagnosed with dementia (27 in 2004, 20 in 2007 and 18 in 2009). The normal cognition group consisted of 909 participants (199 in 2004, 141 in 2007 and 569 in 2009). The average length of follow-up was 6.0 (SD=2.1) years with a range from 1.9-8.6 years. The average time to dementia diagnosis was 5.1 years (SD=2.1), the average time to AD diagnosis was also 5.1 years (SD=2.0), while the average length of follow up for the normal cognition participants was 6.1 years (SD=2.1).

Table 1 shows the demographics and baseline characteristics of the participants both overall and by incident dementia status. The majority (69.7%) of the participants were women. The participants with dementia or AD were older at baseline and at diagnosis but significantly less educated than the participants with normal cognition. APOE ε4 carriers were also over-represented in the group with dementia (55.4%, 55.4%,...
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...baseline exposure to statin medications in this elderly African American population was associated with a significantly lower risk both for incident AD and for incident dementia.

(SD=29.6; n=210) and a mean baseline CRP of 13.1 mg/L (SD=29.1; n=208). For those not taking statins at baseline, the mean baseline LDL was 116.4 mg/dL (SD=32.5; n=614) and the mean baseline CRP was 11.4 mg/L (SD=18.4; n=611). The levels were not significantly different between the baseline statin users and non-users for CRP (P=.4403) but the LDL levels were significantly lower in the statin users (P<.0001). There was no difference in the rates of low CRP (≤2) between those taking statins at baseline and those who did not (27.9% vs 25.4%; P=.4660). However, significantly fewer baseline statin users had high baseline LDL (>130 mg/dL) than those who did not take the medications (15.7% vs.29.8% P<.0001). Cognitive scores at baseline were not different between statin users (mean=69.7, SD=6.0) and non-users (mean=69.5, SD=6.7, P=.7947). (data not shown)

Table 1. Demographic and baseline information on the 974 participants with APOE and statin information both overall and by diagnostic group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall (N=974)</th>
<th>Incident Dementia (n=65)</th>
<th>Incident AD (n=56)</th>
<th>Normal Cognition (n=909)</th>
<th>P&lt;</th>
<th>P&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at baseline, mean (SD)</td>
<td>76.6 (4.9)</td>
<td>78.7 (5.5)</td>
<td>79.0 (5.6)</td>
<td>76.4 (4.8)</td>
<td>.0003</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis, mean (SD)</td>
<td>82.6 (5.0)</td>
<td>83.8 (5.6)</td>
<td>84.1 (5.7)</td>
<td>82.5 (4.9)</td>
<td>.0519</td>
<td>.0238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>679 (69.7)</td>
<td>69 (73.8)</td>
<td>40 (71.4)</td>
<td>631 (69.4)</td>
<td>.4883</td>
<td>.8812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education, mean (SD)</td>
<td>11.6 (2.5)</td>
<td>10.6 (3.0)</td>
<td>10.6 (3.0)</td>
<td>11.6 (3.0)</td>
<td>.0085</td>
<td>.0116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoE Ɛ4 carrier, n (%)</td>
<td>309 (31.7)</td>
<td>36 (55.4)</td>
<td>33 (58.9)</td>
<td>273 (30.0)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline LDL, mean, mg/dL (SD)</td>
<td>(n=824)</td>
<td>(n=61)</td>
<td>(n=53)</td>
<td>(n=763)</td>
<td>.7470</td>
<td>.5917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline CRP, mean, mg/dL (SD)</td>
<td>112.1 (32.7)</td>
<td>113.4 (29.4)</td>
<td>114.5 (29.1)</td>
<td>112.0 (32.9)</td>
<td>.4699</td>
<td>.3262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline LDL &gt;130 mg/dL, n(%)</td>
<td>(n=819)</td>
<td>(n=60)</td>
<td>(n=52)</td>
<td>(n=759)</td>
<td>.8800</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaseLINE CRP ≤2 mg/dL, n (%)</td>
<td>(n=819)</td>
<td>(n=60)</td>
<td>(n=52)</td>
<td>(n=759)</td>
<td>.2889</td>
<td>.1906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline statin use</td>
<td>244 (25.1%)</td>
<td>9 (13.8)</td>
<td>7 (12.5)</td>
<td>235 (25.9)</td>
<td>.0369</td>
<td>.0256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin use over all participating waves, n (%)</td>
<td>Continuous use</td>
<td>150 (15.4)</td>
<td>5 (7.7)</td>
<td>3 (5.4)</td>
<td>145 (16.0)</td>
<td>.0926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermittent use</td>
<td>322 (33.1)</td>
<td>19 (29.2)</td>
<td>17 (30.4)</td>
<td>303 (33.3)</td>
<td>.0433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Did not use</td>
<td>502 (51.5)</td>
<td>41 (63.1)</td>
<td>36 (64.3)</td>
<td>461 (50.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. P comparing incident dementia with normal cognition.
b. P comparing incident AD with normal cognition.
pared with the participants with normal cognition (\(P=.05\) for all).

Table 3 shows the results from the final logistic regression models on the association of statin use and incident dementia or AD using two models.

In model 1, after controlling for age at diagnosis, sex, education level, the presence of the APOE ε4 allele, and history of stroke for the incident dementia model, statin use at baseline was significantly associated with a decreased risk of both incident dementia (\(P=.0286\)) and incident AD (\(P=.0291\)). Baseline inflammatory status (CRP≥2 mg/dL) (\(P=.0947\) for dementia and \(P=.3808\) for AD) or baseline high LDL levels (>130 mg/dL) (\(P=.3433\) for dementia and \(P=.5319\) for AD) were not associated with incident dementia or AD in the baseline statin models.

Interactions between baseline statin use with both baseline LDL and CRP levels were not significant (\(P>.45\)).

In model 2, after controlling for age at diagnosis, sex, education level, the presence of the APOE ε4 allele, and history of stroke for the incident dementia model, continuous use of statins since baseline was associated with a significantly decreased risk of incident AD (\(P=.0336\)) and a trend toward a decreased risk of incident dementia (\(P=.0609\)) compared with those who had never taken statins. Intermittent use of statins did not confer a significant reduction in risk of either incident dementia (\(P=.2644\)) or for incident AD (\(P=.3113\)) compared with non-users.

When the analysis is confined to only those participants aged ≥80 years in 2001, there were a total of 24 incident dementia cases, 23 of whom were diagnosed with AD and 189 in the normal cognition group. The trend toward reduced risk for incident dementia/AD associated with baseline statin use remained (incident dementia: OR=.17, 95% CI .02-1.32, \(P=.0897\); incident AD: OR=.19, 95% CI .03-1.50, \(P=.1154\)) but the significance was lost most likely due to the small numbers included.

## Discussion

In this analysis, baseline exposure to statin medications in this elderly African American population was associated with a significantly lower risk both for incident AD (\(P=.0291\)) and for incident dementia (\(P=.0286\)). This result is consistent with findings from our previous report on statin use and cognitive decline\(^{21}\) and with the results from previous studies,\(^{2,3}\) one of which included African Americans.\(^{32}\)

When statin use over time was incorporated into our model, however, the significant effect of statin use on reduced AD risk and the trend for reduction in dementia risk was found only for those participants who reported consistent use over the observational period. This result differs from the finding of our previous analysis where the significant effects on cognitive decline remained only for those participants who had discontinued statin use over the study period.

The explanation for this discrepancy is not clear. There have been sufficient individual reports of temporary memory loss with statin use to stimulate a recent FDA warning.\(^{33}\) However, there is little evidence in the literature of persistent cognitive impairment associated with statin use although the issue with high doses of statins remains unresolved.\(^{34}\)

Our current analysis took place over a longer period of time, eight years vs three years in our previous analysis, and involved different out-

---

### Table 2. Baseline comorbidities: Overall and by diagnostic group, n (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall (N=974)</th>
<th>Incident Dementia (n=65)</th>
<th>Incident AD (n=56)</th>
<th>Normal Cognition (n=909)</th>
<th>(P^a)</th>
<th>(P^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=966)</td>
<td>277 (28.7)</td>
<td>18 (27.7)</td>
<td>16 (28.6)</td>
<td>(n=901)</td>
<td>259 (28.7)</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>(n=961)</td>
<td>(n=64)</td>
<td>(n=55)</td>
<td>(n=897)</td>
<td>140 (15.6)</td>
<td>.3727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>147 (15.3)</td>
<td>7 (10.9)</td>
<td>5 (9.1)</td>
<td>100 (10.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>(n=965)</td>
<td>(n=63)</td>
<td>(n=54)</td>
<td>(n=902)</td>
<td>1000 (16.6)</td>
<td>.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary Heart Disease</td>
<td>(n=973)</td>
<td>16 (24.6)</td>
<td>15 (26.8)</td>
<td>(n=908)</td>
<td>289 (31.8)</td>
<td>.2687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension(^c)</td>
<td>(n=962)</td>
<td>48 (73.8)</td>
<td>41 (73.2)</td>
<td>(n=897)</td>
<td>696 (77.6)</td>
<td>.5390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>(n=970)</td>
<td>(n=64)</td>
<td>(n=55)</td>
<td>(n=906)</td>
<td>109 (12.0)</td>
<td>.0757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>122 (12.6)</td>
<td>13 (20.3)</td>
<td>9 (16.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a.\) \(P\) comparing incident dementia with normal cognition.

\(b.\) \(P\) comparing incident AD with normal cognition.

\(c.\) By self or informant report or medication use in 2001.
comes, dementia and AD rather than cognitive decline. The observational period for studies that have reported significant protective effects for statin use for incident dementia/AD have ranged from three to 14 years.\textsuperscript{2-6}

Longer exposure to statin use has also been associated with better outcomes.\textsuperscript{35} Wong et al in their meta-analysis have suggested a useful metric to compare studies might be measuring lag period, ie, the time between the first exposure to the drug and the diagnostic outcome.\textsuperscript{10} In a nationwide data survey in Taiwan, Wu et al reported a significant protective effect for individuals who took statins for more than 830 days.\textsuperscript{36} In our study, for the continuous statin users, the average time from baseline until diagnosis was 5.8 years.

Two studies\textsuperscript{37, 38} have reported an age-associated effect of statin use and incident dementia with the protective effect occurring only in participants aged <80 years. In our study, the average age at baseline was 76.6 years (SD 4.9 years) and the average age at diagnosis was 82.6 years (SD 5.0 years). When our analysis was confined to participants ≥80 years, the trend toward reduced risk for incident AD/dementia for statin users remained (OR for dementia=.17, \(P=.09\); OR for AD=.19, \(P=.12\)) but the significance was lost most likely due to the smaller numbers included in this analysis.

Concerns about prescription bias that might lead to reverse causality have been raised, that is, statins are less likely to be prescribed in patients who have cognitive defects.\textsuperscript{32} However, in our study the baseline cognitive scores between statin users and non-users were almost identical (statin users mean=69.7, SD=6.0, non-users mean=69.5, SD=6.7, \(P=.7947\)).

Previous reports have indicated that reducing lipids\textsuperscript{39} and controlling inflammation\textsuperscript{40} may be important pathways in reducing the risk of dementia. Consequently, we included in our models measurements of lipids (LDL levels) and indicators of inflammation (CRP levels). However, we found no relationship between baseline LDL and CRP levels and the protective effects of statins. This finding is consistent with our previous analysis using cognitive decline as an outcome, and is similar to the reports from other studies that have found a significant protective effect for statin use and incident dementia or AD but no association with serum lipid levels.\textsuperscript{12-13} Although one study did report a beneficial effect for statins only in participants with elevated lipid levels.\textsuperscript{41} There have been no published reports on the association of CRP levels with the protective effects of statins on incident dementia but one article reported that treatment with atorvastatin did not have any significant effect on CRP levels in patients with AD.\textsuperscript{42}

Statins are known to have multiple physiologic effects in addition to their ability to reduce serum cholesterol levels including antioxidant, antinitrosative, and immunomodulatory properties.\textsuperscript{43} It is possible that one or more of these pathways may be involved in its effect on dementia.

### Study Limitations

Since the participants of this study were limited to African Americans (who, as a group, tend to have a higher prevalence of cardiovascular comorbidities), the findings are not necessarily generalizable to other ethnic populations.

In order to control for the effects

---

**Table 3. Results from logistic regression models on incident dementia/AD by statin use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Incident Dementia, (N=974)</th>
<th>Incident AD, (N=965)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.00-1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>.68-2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>.80-9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoE ε4 carrier</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>1.84-5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of stroke</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.05-4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin use at baseline</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>.21-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.00-1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>.65-2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>.80-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoE ε4 carrier</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1.82-5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of stroke</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.03-3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin use over time\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous use vs never used</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.15-1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent use vs never used</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>.40-1.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Statin use is classified based upon all participating waves for each subject from 2001-2009.
of APOE ε4 and investigate the relationship between statin effects on lipids, only participants with blood samples were included in this analysis. The study participants were more likely to be female, younger, and had more years of education than those excluded from the study. These variables were included in the subsequent models for incident dementia/AD to minimize potential bias.

Use of statins is likely to be associated with hyperlipidemia. Levels of LDLs and CRP were not measured prior to statin use. Thus the beneficial effects of statins in reducing lipid levels may have been underestimated. LDL and CRP levels were only measured once. In elderly populations these levels are likely to fluctuate and therefore the possible effects of these fluctuations on dementia risk could not be measured.

Although medication usage was checked in person by querying the participants and examining pill bottles, there remains the possibility that the ascertainment of consistency of medication compliance was not always accurate. Medication dosage was not recorded.

Observational studies by design rely on an analysis of the association between putative risk factors and outcomes. Determining causality from these associations is difficult, thus limiting their ability to determine the mechanisms of drug action.

CONCLUSIONS

In this cohort, the prevalence both of vascular disease and vascular risk factors such as hypertension was high. The results of this study would suggest that clinicians treating elderly African Americans at high risk for vascular disease in accordance with the ACA/AHA recommendations, regardless of lipid levels, would have the additional benefit of reducing the risk of dementia in this population. Whether or not there is any additional advantage in treating elderly African Americans at lower risk for vascular disease remains an open question. Given the limitations of observational studies and the concerns about the potential adverse effects of high dosage use, a prospective randomized control study of the use of statins particularly in relatively healthy older individuals in preventing dementia, although difficult to design, may be worthwhile.
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